

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9578	
10/810,643		03/29/2004	Mitsuru Sube	M1071.1901		
32172	7590	02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
		IRO MORIN & OS HE AMERICAS (6T	DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M			
41 ST FL.	OL OI II	IL AMERICAS (01)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK	ζ, NY 10	0036-2714	2834			

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/810	,643	SUBE, MITSURU	SUBE, MITSURU	
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit	an	
		Thomas	s M. Dougherty	2834	(WVO)	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on	the cover sheet with t	the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faill Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. Itory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATE event, however, may a reply d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this cooned (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□)⊠ This action is or allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters	•	e merits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-18 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction is objected to by the Influence that any objection request that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specification of the Influence that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specification is objected to by the Influence that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specification is objected to by the Influence that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specification is objected to by the Influence that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specification is objected to be specification to the specification of the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification	withdrawn from con and/or election Examiner.	n requirement. epted or b)⊡ objecto) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form P	ΓO-152.	
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action to	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docur al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Appli nents have been rec ule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National	Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date亞 2의 이식			nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO)-152)	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Qiu et al. (US 6,419,848). Qui et al. show (fig. 3D) a piezoelectric ceramic body (20) comprising a plurality of piezoelectric material particles (42) and dielectric particles (43) comprising a dielectric material having a higher dielectric constant than said piezoelectric material, the dielectric disposed in gaps between the piezoelectric particles.

The piezoelectric material (42) is at least one member of the group consisting of lead titanate zirconate, lead titanate, lead titanate zirconate containing a composite perovskite compound as a solid solution therein, and lead titanate containing a composite perovskite compound as a solid solution therein. See col. 6 lines 36-49.

The dielectric material (43) is at least one member of the group consisting of a composite perovskite compound, a solid solution of a composite perovskite compound and lead titanate, and the combination of a dielectric constant enhancement oxide and a piezoelectric material. See col. 8, lines 36-47.

The dielectric powder (43) has a particle size which is not more than about 1/4 of the particle size of the piezoelectric powder. See claims 4 and 5. The dielectric material (43) is at least one member of the group consisting of a composite perovskite

Art Unit: 2834

compound, a solid solution of a composite perovskite compound and lead titanate, and the combination of a dielectric constant enhancement oxide and a piezoelectric material. Again see col. 8, lines 36-47.

The dielectric powder (43) has a particle size which is not more than about 1/4 of the particle size of the piezoelectric powder. See claims 4 and 5.

As noted, the body (20) has an outer surface with an electrode (5) thereon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Qui et al. (US 6,419,848). Given the invention of Qui et al. as noted above, they further show that the body (20) has an outer surface with an electrode (5) thereon. It is unknown whether or not the dielectric powder content does not exceed about 3 weight parts per 100 weight parts of the piezoelectric powder. While this is unknown, it is certainly possible in the device of Qui et al. However, employment of such a range of weights would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

Application/Control Number: 10/810,643 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves ony routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art reads on some aspects of the claimed invention.

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

January 24, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER